arXiv:1702.07886v2 [math.CV] 29 Mar 2017

KÄHLER FORMS FOR FAMILIES OF CALABI-YAU MANIFOLDS

MATTHIAS BRAUN AND GEORG SCHUMACHER

Abstract. Kähler-Einstein metrics for polarized families $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ of Calabi-Yau manifolds define a natural hermitian metric on the relative canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. The fact that the curvature form is equal to the pull-back of the Weil-Petersson form up to a numerical constant is being used for the construction of a Kähler form on $\mathcal{X}$, whose restriction to the fibers is Ricci flat.

1. Introduction

S.T. Yau's solution of the Calabi problem ([Yau77, Yau78]) and later developments had a strong impact on the theory of moduli spaces. Recalling the work of Griffiths [Gr70] one could see that for a holomorphic family of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds (with trivial canonical bundle) the curvature form of the Hodge bundle on the base space is equal to the Weil-Petersson form.

Generalizing moduli of Calabi-Yau manifolds and canonically polarized varieties, in [F-S90] moduli of extremal Kähler manifolds were constructed and studied. In this note we want to focus on the curvature of the relative canonical bundle on the total space of a holomorphic family of Calabi-Yau manifolds – somewhat analogous to the situation of (effective) families of canonically polarized manifolds, equipped with Kähler-Einstein metrics, where the relative canonical bundle on the total space is strictly positive ([Sch12, Sch08]).

Let $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ be a holomorphic, polarized family of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\mathcal{X}_s = f^{-1}(s)$, i.e. compact manifolds with $c_{1,\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{X}_s) = 0$, equipped with Ricci flat Kähler forms $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$. The relative volume form $\omega^n_{\mathcal{X}/S} = g\, dV$ induces a hermitian metric g^{-1} on the relative canonical bundle $K_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. We arrive at the following fact.

The curvature form of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is equal to the pull-back of the Weil-Petersson form up to a numerical constant:

$$2\pi c_1(K_{\mathcal{X}/S}, g^{-1}) = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\log g = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\mathcal{X}_s} f^*\omega^{WP}. \tag{1}$$

The above statement is related to the computation of the Weil-Petersson form as curvature form of the Hodge metric on the base of a family, (where the canonical bundles of the fibers are assumed to be trivial). We will give a short direct argument in terms of the Ricci flat metrics, which will also be used in the proof of the following theorem. The results are related to [Br15] and to a recent manuscript by Y.-J. Choi [C15].

Theorem 1. *Let $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ be a holomorphic, polarized, effective family of Calabi-Yau manifolds $\{\mathcal{X}_s\}_{s\in S}$, where $\mathcal{X}_s = f^{-1}(s)$ such that*

(a) *the polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S} \in R^1 f_* \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/S}(S)$ is represented by a class $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}} \in H^{1,1}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$,*
or
(b) *the first Betti-numbers of the fibers vanish: $b_1(\mathcal{X}_s) = 0$.*

Assume that the Green's functions for functions on the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$ are uniformly bounded from below (by a negative constant). Then there exists a Kähler form $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}}$ on $\mathcal{X}$, whose restrictions to the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$ are the Ricci flat forms on $(\mathcal{X}_s, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32G13, 53C55.
Key words and phrases. Moduli, Calabi-Yau manifolds, Weil-Petersson metric.

The Kähler form $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}}$ will be equal to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} + c \cdot f^*\omega^{WP}$ for some $c > 0$, and $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ given in Proposition 1 below.

In particular the assumption on the existence of $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$ is satisfied if the total space $\mathcal{X}$ is Kähler (with the Kähler class inducing the polarization).

Concerning the existence of such an estimate for the Green's function required in Theorem 1 the following is known. It holds, if the diameter of the fibers is uniformly bounded, or if a uniform isoperimetric inequality holds by the work or J. Cheeger [Ch70], Cheeger-Yau [C-Y81], Chr. Croke [Cr80] and others. (Note that the volume of the fibers is constant).

For families of Calabi-Yau manifolds the latter questions were investigated recently by Sh. Takayama [Ta15], and by X. Rong and Y. Zhang [R-Z11], who give a positive answer for the projective case, furthermore in [Z16] for moduli of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds, and V. Tosatti [T15].

2. Polarized families of Kähler manifolds

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold and $\lambda_X \in H^1(X, \Omega^1_X)_{\mathbb{R}} \subset H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ a Kähler class. We summarize some facts about deformations of polarized Kähler manifolds (cf. [F-S90, Sch84]).

A *holomorphic family of compact polarized manifolds* $\{(\mathcal{X}_s, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s})\}_{s\in S}$, parameterized by a reduced complex space S, is given by a proper, holomorphic submersion $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ such that $\mathcal{X}_s = f^{-1}(s)$ for $s \in S$ together with a section $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S} \in R^1 f_* \Omega^1_{\mathcal{X}/S}(S)$, whose restrictions $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S}|\mathcal{X}_s$ are equal to the polarizations $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s}$. In [F-S90] we showed that a polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ can be described in an alternative way requiring that $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S} \in R^2 f_* \mathbb{R}(S)$ with the property that again the restrictions to fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$ are the given Kähler classes.

At least locally, with respect to the base, polarizations for holomorphic families can be represented by Kähler forms on the total space. After replacing S by a neighborhood of a given point, any polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ can be represented by a Kähler form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$. (For singular spaces we always require the existence of local differentiable $\sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}$-potentials for Kähler forms).

A *deformation* of a compact polarized manifold (X, λ_X) over a complex space (S, s_0) with a distinguished point $s_0 \in S$ consists of a holomorphic family $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ together with an isomorphism $X \to \mathcal{X}_{s_0}$ that takes $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}}$ to λ_X.

Infinitesimal deformations are characterized as follows. Let $\mathcal{T}_X$ be the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on X. The Kähler class $\lambda_X \in H^1(X, Hom_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{T}_X))$ defines the equivalence class of an extension, given by the *Atiyah sequence*

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X \to \Sigma_X \to \mathcal{T}_X \to 0.$$

We note that the edge homomorphisms of the induced cohomology sequence are defined by the cup product with the polarization:

$$\cup\lambda_X : H^q(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^{q+1}(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

The kernel of the map $\cup\lambda_X : H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ can be identified with the space $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)_{\lambda_X}$ of infinitesimal deformations of the polarized manifold (X, λ_X) (cf. Section 3.3).

3. Deformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds

Various definitions of Calabi-Yau manifolds are common, we will use the following most general definition.

Definition 1. *A compact Kähler manifold X with vanishing first real Chern class is called Calabi-Yau manifold.*

According to Yau's theorem, for any polarized Calabi-Yau manifold (X, λ_X) there exists a unique Ricci flat Kähler form ω_X in the Kähler class λ_X.

We do not assume that the total space $\mathcal{X}$ of a family of Calabi-Yau manifolds is Kähler. We first give a necessary condition for the family of Kähler-Einstein metrics to be induced by a $(1,1)$-form on the total space, which is also sufficient for the main theorem.

Proposition 1 (cf. [F-S90, Prop. 3.6]). (a) *Let $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ be a polarized family of Calabi-Yau manifolds of dimension n, and let $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} \in \lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ be the Kähler-Einstein forms. Then locally with respect to S there exists a d-closed, real $(1,1)$-form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ on the total space X such that*

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}}|\mathcal{X}_s = \omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}. \tag{2}$$

(b) *If the polarization can be represented by an element $\widetilde{\lambda} \in H^{(1,1)}(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{R}} \subset H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}) \to R^2 f_* \mathbb{R}(S)$ on the total space, then $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be chosen globally.*

(c) *Such a global form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ exists satisfying the additional equation*

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}/S} \omega_{\mathcal{X}}^{n+1} = 0, \tag{3}$$

by which it is uniquely determined.

(d) *The assumption in* (b) *is not needed, if the first Betti numbers $b_1(\mathcal{X}_s)$ vanish.*

Proof. The local statement (a) is contained in [F-S90], the statement (b) about the global existence of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ can be seen as follows: Let the polarization $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ be represented by a d-closed, real $(1,1)$-form τ. The restrictions $\tau|\mathcal{X}_s$ represent the unique Kähler-Einstein forms $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ so that $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \tau|\mathcal{X}_s + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi_s$ for C^∞ functions φ_s on the fibers, whose fiberwise harmonic projections vanish so that these depend upon $s \in S$ in a C^∞ way. Let φ denote the resulting function on $\mathcal{X}$. We set $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \tau + \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial}\varphi$.

Condition (3) can be achieved like in [F-S90, Prop. 3.6], where also the uniqueness is shown.

The statement (d) follows from [F-S90, [Cor. 3.7] □

Locally $\partial\overline{\partial}$-exact forms $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ satisfying (2) (for not necessarily smooth base spaces S) had been introduced as *admissible* forms in [F-S90, Section 3], where the existence of such forms was being studied. Because of the Tian-Todorov theorem [Ti86, To89] about the unobstructedness of infinitesimal deformations we can restrict ourselves to smooth base spaces.

We will use the following notation. Local holomorphic coordinates on X are denoted by $(z^1, \ldots, z^n)$ (with Greek indices), whereas local coordinates on the base S are denoted by $(s^1, \ldots, s^k)$ with Latin indices.

We will write

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s} = \sqrt{-1} g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}(z,s) dz^\alpha \wedge dz^{\overline{\beta}}$$

for the Kähler-Einstein forms of vanishing Ricci curvature representing the Kähler classes $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s}$, and

$$\omega_{\mathcal{X}} = \sqrt{-1}\left(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} dz^\alpha \wedge dz^{\overline{\beta}} + g_{i\overline{\beta}} ds^i \wedge dz^{\overline{\beta}} + g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} dz^\alpha \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}} + g_{i\overline{\jmath}} ds^i \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}}\right).$$

The k-th power of a differential form by definition is equal to the k-th exterior product, divided by $k!$.

3.1. **Metric characterization of the Kodaira-Spencer map.** Let v be a differentiable section of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \to f^*\mathcal{T}_S$ for

$$0 \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\overleftarrow{v}} f^*\mathcal{T}_S \to 0.$$

Then, given $s_0 \in S$, the exterior derivative $\overline{\partial} v$ defines a homomorphism from $T_{s_0}S$ to the space of $\overline{\partial}$-closed $(0,1)$-forms with values in the sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}_{s_0}}$. In this way the Kodaira-Spencer map is defined in terms of Dolbeault cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{s_0}S & \xrightarrow{\rho_{s_0}} & H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \partial/\partial s^i & \longmapsto & [\overline{\partial}(v(\partial/\partial s^i))|\mathcal{X}_{s_0}]\,. \end{array}$$

Given the situation of Proposition 1, let $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ be a real, closed $(1,1)$-form, whose restrictions to all fibers are the Ricci flat Kähler metrics $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ representing the polarizations $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}_s}$. As the statement of (1) does not depend upon the global existence of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$, we do not have to assume that $\mathcal{X}$ possesses a global Kähler form.

A particular lift of a tangent vector $\partial/\partial s^i$ to $\mathcal{X}$ is the *horizontal lift*

$$v_i = \partial/\partial s^i + a_i^\alpha \partial/\partial z^\alpha, \tag{4}$$

which is characterized by the condition that v_i is perpendicular to the respective fiber with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Set $(g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha}) = (g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}})^{-1}$ and $g = \det(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}})$. Furthermore, we will use the notation $\partial_i = \partial/\partial s^i$ and $\partial_\alpha = \partial/\partial z^\alpha$ etc.

Then

$$a_i^\alpha = -g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} g_{i\overline{\beta}}. \tag{5}$$

Distinguished representatives of the Kodaira-Spencer classes $\rho_{s_0}(\partial/\partial s^i)$ are

$$A_i = A_{i\overline{\beta}}^\alpha \partial_\alpha dz^{\overline{\beta}} = (\overline{\partial} v_i)|\mathcal{X}_{s_0}, \text{ i.e. } A_{i\overline{\beta}}^\alpha = \partial_{\overline{\beta}}(a_i^\alpha),$$

where the horizontal lift v_i is given by (4).

We will need covariant derivatives *in fiber direction* and use the semi-colon notation $_;\alpha$ etc. For ordinary derivatives, in particular derivatives with respect to the parameter we will use the |-symbol like $_|i$. Also raising and lowering of indices is being used with respect to the given metrics on the fibers. We recall the following known facts.

Proposition 2. *We identify X with $\mathcal{X}_{s_0}$. Then the distinguished Kodaira-Spencer forms A_i satisfy the following properties.*

$$A_i \cup \omega_X = 0 \text{ i.e. } A_{i\overline{\beta}\overline{\delta}} = A_{i\overline{\delta}\overline{\beta}} \tag{6}$$

$$\overline{\partial} A_i = 0 \text{ i.e. } A_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}}^\alpha = A_{i\overline{\delta};\overline{\beta}}^\alpha \tag{7}$$

$$\overline{\partial}^* A_i = 0 \text{ i.e. } -g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma} A_{i\overline{\beta};\gamma}^\alpha = 0 \tag{8}$$

Proof. We have $A_i \cup \omega_X = A_{i\overline{\beta}\overline{\delta}} dz^{\overline{\beta}} \wedge dz^{\overline{\delta}}$, where $A_{i\overline{\beta}\overline{\delta}} = a_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}} = -g_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}}$, which implies the first two equations (6) and (7). The $\overline{\partial}^*$-closedness will be shown below. □

Note that (6) implies that the class of A_i is contained in $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)_{\lambda_X}$.

3.2. **Tensors on Calabi-Yau manifolds.** We will need various properties for tensors on Calabi-Yau manifolds, some of which are already mentioned in E. Calabi's original paper [Ca57].

Lemma 1 ([Ca57])**.** *Any holomorphic vector field, any holomorphic* 1*-form, and any general holomorphic tensor are parallel.*

Proof. Let $\xi^\alpha \partial_\alpha$ be a holomorphic vector field. Then

$$\int_X \xi_{\overline{\beta};\gamma} \overline{\xi}_{\alpha;\overline{\delta}} g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g dV = -\int_X \xi_{\overline{\beta};\gamma\overline{\delta}} \overline{\xi}_\alpha g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g dV = -\int_X g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} \left(g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} \xi_{\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}\gamma} - \xi_{\overline{\tau}} R^{\overline{\tau}}{}_{\overline{\beta}} \right) \xi_\alpha g \, dV = 0$$

because of the holomorphicity of ξ^α and the Ricci flatness of the metric. So $\xi_{\overline{\beta};\gamma} = 0$. The rest follows in the same way. □

3.3. **Curvature form of the relative canonical bundle.** Let a holomorphic family $f : \mathcal{X} \to S$ of Calabi-Yau manifolds be given together with a form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ with the properties of Proposition 1.

Let Θ be the curvature form for the relative canonical bundle. Because of the Kähler-Einstein condition for $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$ the curvature form vanishes when restricted to any fiber:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta = \sqrt{-1}\partial\overline{\partial} \log g &= \sqrt{-1} \left(\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}} \, ds^i \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}} + \Theta_{i\overline{\beta}} \, ds^i \wedge dz^{\overline{\beta}} + \Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} \, dz^\alpha \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}} \right) \\ \Theta_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now $\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\overline{\beta}} = \Theta_{\alpha\overline{\beta}|\overline{\jmath}} = 0$. This proves

Lemma 2. *The forms $\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} dz^\alpha$ are holomorphic on the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$ and $\Theta_{i\overline{\beta};\alpha} = 0$.*

By Lemma 1

$$\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\gamma} = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\Theta_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}} = 0 \tag{10}$$

We note a consequence of Lemma 1: Again X stands for a fiber $\mathcal{X}_s$. The cup product $\cup\lambda_X : H^0(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ can be represented by the cup product with ω_X that maps holomorphic vector fields to harmonic $(0,1)$-forms. This map is an isomorphism. In particular we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(X, \Sigma_X) \to H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X) \xrightarrow{\cup\lambda_X} H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X),$$

which implies that the space of infinitesimal deformations of the polarized manifold (X, λ_X), namely the kernel of the cup product with the polarization on $H^1(X, \mathcal{T}_X)$ with values in $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, can be identified with $H^1(X, \Sigma_X)$.

Lemma 3.

$$\overline{\partial}^*(A^{\alpha}_{i\overline{\beta}}\,\partial_\alpha dz^{\overline{\beta}}) = \Theta_{i\overline{\beta}}\, g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha}\,\partial_\alpha. \tag{11}$$

Proof.

$$-g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}A^{\alpha}_{i\overline{\beta};\gamma} = -g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}A_{i\overline{\delta\beta};\gamma} \overset{\overline{(6)}}{} - g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}A_{i\overline{\beta\delta};\gamma} \overset{\overline{(5)}}{} g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}g_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}\gamma} =$$
$$g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}\left(g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}g_{i\overline{\beta};\gamma\overline{\delta}} + g_{i\overline{\tau}}R^{\overline{\tau}}{}_{\overline{\delta}}\right) = g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}(g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}g_{\gamma\overline{\beta}|i})_{;\overline{\delta}} = g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}(\log g)_{|i\overline{\delta}} = g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}\Theta_{i\overline{\delta}}.$$

□

Now we consider the hermitian product $\langle \sqcup, \sqcup \rangle_\Theta$ on $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}$ that is defined by Θ, (which is not positive definite).

Let

$$\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} := \langle v_i, v_j \rangle_\Theta = \Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}} - a^{\alpha}_i\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} - \Theta_{i\overline{\beta}}a^{\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\jmath}}. \tag{12}$$

We compute the (fiberwise) Laplacian of $\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}}$, where $\Box$ denotes the Laplacian for functions with respect to $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$.

Proposition 3.

$$\Box\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = -2g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha}\Theta_{i\overline{\beta}}\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}}. \tag{13}$$

Proof. Because of Lemma 2, and (9), (10), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\Box\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = -g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma}\chi_{i\overline{\jmath};\overline{\delta}\gamma} &= -g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma}\left(\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath},\overline{\delta}\gamma} - a^{\alpha}_{i;\overline{\delta}\gamma}\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} - \Theta_{i\overline{\beta}}a^{\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\jmath};\overline{\delta}\gamma}\right)\\ &= -g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma}\left(\Theta_{\gamma\overline{\delta}|i\overline{\jmath}} - A^{\alpha}_{i\overline{\delta};\gamma}\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} - \Theta_{i\overline{\beta}}A^{\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\jmath}\gamma;\overline{\delta}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fiberwise Ricci flatness of the metric. Now the vanishing of the Ricci forms and Lemma 3 imply the claim. □

Integration of (13) over the fibers implies that the forms $\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}}\,dz^\alpha$, and their conjugates vanish identically. Now by (12) the Laplacians $\Box\chi_{i\overline{\jmath}}$ and $\Box\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}$ are equal and vanish. This means that the coefficients $\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}$ only depend on the parameter s. Altogether we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 1.

$$\Theta_{i\overline{\beta}} = 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\Theta_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}} = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}(z, s) = \Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s). \tag{16}$$

Proof of Proposition 2 (8). The statement follows from Lemma 3 together with (14). □

4. Construction of the global Kähler form

We first compute the curvature of the relative canonical bundle for a holomorphic, polarized family of Calabi-Yau manifolds, equipped with Kähler-Einstein metrics according to Yau's theorem.

In case the canonical bundles of the fibers are trivial, rather than some power of it, the Hodge bundles $\mathcal{H}^{n,0}$ on the base space of a holomorphic family are provided with the natural metric. It was shown in this case (cf. [W03, Lu01, L-S04]) that the curvature form of the Hodge line bundle is equal to the Weil-Petersson form. This fact was based upon the formula of Griffiths [Gr70] for the curvature of the Hodge bundles over the period domain, combined with G. Tian's result that characterized the Weil-Petersson metric for Calabi-Yau manifolds in terms of the period map [Ti86]. The analogous result, relating the Weil-Petersson form to the invariant metrics on period domains for families of holomorphic symplectic manifolds was shown in [Sch85]). The result (1) is somewhat related. However, it applies to the curvature of the relative canonical bundle on the total space of a holomorphic family. For fibers with trivial canonical bundles it is contained the article [W03] by C.L. Wang. Our approach to (1) in the general case will be needed in the proof of our main theorem.

4.1. **Weil-Petersson metric for Calabi-Yau manifolds.** Let $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ be a holomorphic family of polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds. For any $s \in S$ we consider the Kodaira-Spencer map

$$\rho_s : T_sS \to H^1(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}_s}).$$

According to Section 3.1

$$(\overline{\partial} v_i)|\mathcal{X}_s = A^{\alpha}_{i\overline{\beta}} \partial_\alpha dz^{\overline{\beta}}$$

is the harmonic representative of

$$\rho_s(\partial/\partial s^i).$$

The L^2 inner product of harmonic representatives is known to define a Kähler form ω^{WP} (cf. [N86, Siu86, F-S90]). We use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{WP} &= \sqrt{-1} G^{WP}_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s)\, ds^i \wedge ds^{\overline{\jmath}}, \text{ where} \\ G^{WP}_{i\overline{\jmath}}(s) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} A^{\alpha}_{i\overline{\beta}}\, A^{\overline{\delta}}_{\overline{\jmath}\gamma}\, g_{\alpha\overline{\delta}}\, g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma} g\, dV. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. **Computation of $\mathbf{c_{1,\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{g^{-1}})$.** The form ω^{WP} on the total space of a holomorphic family $(f : \mathcal{X} \to S, \lambda_{\mathcal{X}/S})$ defines an inner product for horizontal lifts (4) of tangent vectors of S.

$$\varphi_{i\overline{\jmath}} := \langle v_i, v_j \rangle_{\omega_{\mathcal{X}}} = g_{i\overline{\jmath}} - a^{\alpha}_i a^{\overline{\beta}}_{\overline{\jmath}} g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}. \tag{17}$$

We denote the pointwise inner product of harmonic Kodaira-Spencer forms A_i and A_j by $A_i \cdot A_{\overline{\jmath}}$.

Lemma 4.

$$\Box \varphi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = -\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}} + A_i \cdot A_{\overline{\jmath}}. \tag{18}$$

Note that the tensor $\Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}$ only depends upon the parameter s by (16).

Proof.

$$\Box \varphi_{i\overline{\jmath}} = -g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{i\overline{\jmath}|\overline{\delta}\gamma} + g^{\overline{\beta}\alpha} g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} \left(A_{\overline{\jmath}\alpha;\gamma}\, A_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}} + g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\overline{\delta}\gamma}\, g_{i\overline{\beta}} + g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath}}\, g_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}\gamma} + g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\overline{\delta}}\, g_{i\overline{\beta};\gamma} \right)$$

Because of the Ricci flatness of $\omega_{\mathcal{X}_s}$, and (8) we have $g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\overline{\delta}\gamma} = g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{\alpha\overline{\jmath};\gamma\overline{\delta}} = 0$. Also $g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{i\overline{\beta};\overline{\delta}\gamma} = 0$ by (8).

Finally

$$-g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma}\, g_{i\overline{\jmath}|\overline{\delta}\gamma} = -g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma}\, g_{\gamma\overline{\delta}|i\overline{\jmath}} = -(g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{\gamma\overline{\delta}|i})_{|\overline{\jmath}} + g^{\overline{\delta}\alpha}\, g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}|\overline{\jmath}}\, g^{\overline{\beta}\gamma}\, g_{\gamma\overline{\delta}|i}$$

Now $(g^{\overline{\delta}\gamma} g_{\overline{\delta}\gamma|i})_{|\overline{\jmath}} = (\log g)_{i\overline{\jmath}} = \Theta_{i\overline{\jmath}}$, and the claim follows. □

Proof of (1). We integrate (18), and apply (16). □

Proof of Theorem 1. We claim that for $\omega_{\mathcal{X}}$ according to Proposition 1, and a suitable number $c' > 0$ the global form $\omega_{\mathcal{X}} + c' f^* \omega^{WP}$ is Kähler. The number $c' > 0$ will be chosen globally for the whole given family. The positive definiteness can be verified after restricting the base space to a neighborhood of any given point. As the construction of the Weil-Petersson metric is functorial, i.e. compatible with base change, we can restrict ourselves to the case, where $\widetilde{S} \subset S$ is one dimensional (and smooth). Again s denotes a holomorphic coordinate on $\widetilde{S}$, and by abuse of notation the letter s stands also for the corresponding index. Let $\mathcal{X}_{\widetilde{S}} = \mathcal{X} \times_S \widetilde{S} \to \widetilde{S}$ be the restricted family.

Let $\varphi_{s\overline{s}}(z, s)$ be given in the sense of (17). One can see easily that $\varphi_{s\overline{s}}$ comes from an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$-determinant:

$$\varphi_{s\overline{s}}\, g = \det \begin{pmatrix} g_{s\overline{s}} & g_{s\overline{\beta}} \\ g_{\alpha\overline{s}} & g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$\varphi_{s\overline{s}}\, g\, dV = \omega^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}_{\widetilde{S}}}.$$

The normalization (3) implies that for any fixed $s \in S$ the harmonic projection of $\varphi_{s\overline{s}}(z, s)$ vanishes. Denote by G_s the Green's operators for functions on the fibers $\mathcal{X}_s$, $s \in S$. We set $\Box = \Box_s$ for the Laplacians on the fibers, and we denote by H_s the respective harmonic projections. For any function χ on $\mathcal{X}_s$, $s \in S$

$$G_s(\chi) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_s} G_s(z, w) \chi(w) g(w) dV(w)$$

holds.

Now by (18), since the harmonic projections $H_s(\varphi_{s\overline{s}})$ vanish, we have for $s \in \widetilde{S}$

$$\varphi_{s\overline{s}} = G_s(\Box_s(\varphi_{s\overline{s}})) = G_s(-\Theta_{s\overline{s}} + A_s \cdot A_{\overline{s}}) = G_s(A_s \cdot A_{\overline{s}}).$$

The last equality holds because of (16). By our assumption, on all fibers $G_s(z, w) \geq -c$ for some $c > 0$ and all $s \in S$.

Now on $\widetilde{S}$ we have $G_s(A_s \cdot A_{\overline{s}}) \underset{(1)}{\geq} -c \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{X}_s)\, \Theta_{s\overline{s}}$.

We take the following normalization for the Kähler form on the total space $\mathcal{X}$. Let

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}} = \omega_{\mathcal{X}} + (c+1) f^* \omega^{WP}. \tag{19}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{n+1}_{\mathcal{X}} | \mathcal{X}_{\widetilde{S}} = (\varphi_{s\overline{s}} + c' \Theta_{s\overline{s}})\, g\, dV \wedge \sqrt{-1} ds \wedge \overline{ds} \geq \mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{X}_s)\, \Theta_{s\overline{s}} g\, dV \wedge \sqrt{-1} ds \wedge \overline{ds}. \tag{20}$$

This holds for any curve $\widetilde{S} \subset S$ through any given point and proves the positive definiteness of $\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathcal{X}}$. □

We just proved the following fact.

Remark 1. *Our construction* (19) *by* (20) *contains the fact that (for the components of type* (n, n) *on* $\mathcal{X}$ *and type* $(1, 1)$ *in horizontal direction)*

$$\widetilde{\omega}^{n+1} \geq \widetilde{\omega}^n \wedge f^* \omega^{WP}$$

holds, analogous to [Sch12, (13)].

References

[Br15] Braun, Matthias: Positivität relativer kanonischer Bündel und Krümmung höherer direkter Bildgarben auf Familien von Calabi-Yau-Mannigfaltigkeiten, Dissertation, Marburg 2015.

[Ca57] Calabi, Eugenio: On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class. Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, pp. 78–89. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1957.

[Ch70] Cheeger, Jeff: A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, in Problems in Analysis (A Symposium in Honor of S. Bochner), Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970, pp. 195–199.

[C-Y81] Cheeger, J., Yau, S.-T.: A lower bound for the heat kernel. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **34**, 465–480 (1981).

[C15] Choi, Young-Jun: Semi-positivity of fiberwise Ricci-flat metrics on Calabi-Yau fibrations. arXiv:1508.00323v3.

[Cr80] Croke, Christopher B.: Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates, Ann. Scient Éc. Norm. Sup. **13**, 419–435 (1980).

[F-S90] Fujiki, Akira; Schumacher, Georg: The moduli space of extremal compact Kähler manifolds and generalized Weil-Petersson metrics. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26**, 101–183 (1990).

[Gr70] Griffiths, P.A.: Periods of integrals on algebraic manifolds. III: Some global differential-geometric properties of the period mapping. Publ. Math. IHES **38**, 125–180 (1970).

[Lu01] Lu, Zhiqin: On the Hodge metric of the universal deformation space of Calabi-Yau threefolds. J. Geom. Anal. **11** 103–118 (2001).

[L-S04] Lu, Zhiqin; Sun Xiaofeng: Weil–Petersson geometry on moduli space of polarized Calabi–Yau manifolds, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **3**, 185-229 (2004).

[N86] Nannicini, Antonella: Weil-Petersson metric in the space of compact polarized Kähler-Einstein manifolds of zero first Chern class, Manuscr. math. **54**, 405–438 (1986).

[R-Z11] Rong, Xiaochun; Zhang, Yuguang: Continuity of extremal transitions and flops for Calabi–Yau manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. **89**, 233–269 (2011).

[Sch85] Schumacher, Georg: On the geometry of moduli spaces, Manusc. math. **50**, 229–267 (1985).

[Sch84] Schumacher, Georg: Moduli of polarized Kähler manifolds, Math. Ann. **269**, 137–144 (1984).

[Sch08] Schumacher, Georg: Positivity of relative canonical bundles for families of canonically polarized manifolds, arXiv:0808.3259.

[Sch12] Schumacher, Georg: Positivity of relative canonical bundles and applications. Invent. math. **190**, 1–56 (2012) and Erratum to: Positivity of relative canonical bundles and applications. Invent. math. **192**, 253–255 (2013).

[Siu86] Siu, Yum-Tong: Curvature of the Weil-Petersson metric in the moduli space of compact Kähler-Einstein manifolds of negative first Chern class. Contributions to several complex variables, Hon. W. Stoll, Proc. Conf. Complex Analysis, Notre Dame/Indiana 1984, Aspects Math. **E9**, 261–298 (1986).

[Ta15] Shigeharu Takayama: On Moderate Degenerations of Polarized Ricci-Flat Kähler Manifolds. J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **22**, 469–489 (2015).

[Ti86] Tian, Gang: Smoothness of the universal deformation space of compact Calabi-Yau manifolds and its Petersson-Weil metric, Mathematical aspects of string theory (San Diego, Calif., 1986), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1987, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., **1**, 629–646.

[To89] Todorov, Andrey: The Weil-Petersson geometry of the moduli space of $SU(n \geq 3)$ (Calabi-Yau) manifolds. I, Comm. Math. Phys., **126**, 325–346 (1989).

[T15] Tosatti, Valentino: Families of Calabi–Yau Manifolds and Canonical Singularities. Int. Math. Res. Not. **20** 10586–10594 (2015).

[W03] Wang, Chin-Lung: Curvature Properties of the Calabi-Yau Moduli. Documenta Mathematica **8**, 577–590 (2003).

[Yau77] Yau, S.-T.: Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **74**, 1798–1799 (1977).

[Yau78] Yau, S.T.: On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **31**, 339–411 (1978).

[Z16] Zhang, Yuguang: Completion of the moduli space for polarized Calabi-Yau manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. **103**, 521–544 (2016).

E-mail address: braunm@mathematik.uni-marburg.de

E-mail address: schumac@mathematik.uni-marburg.de

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Lahnberge, Hans-Meerwein-Strasse, D-35032 Marburg, Germany